## **EXHIBIT 3**

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 486-4 Filed 04/24/25 Page 2 of 4 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY - CONFIDENTIAL

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2.
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                           SAN FRANCISCO
 4
 5
                                       )
 6
      ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, et al.
      individually and on behalf of )
 7
      all others similarly situated,)
                                       )
                 Plaintiffs,
 8
                                       )
 9
                                       ) No. 3:20-CV-04688 RS
      vs.
10
      GOOGLE LLC, et al.,
                 Defendants.
11
12
13
14
               ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY - CONFIDENTIAL
15
      VIDEOTAPED REMOTE DEPOSITION OF BRUCE SCHNEIER, Ph.D.
16
                     Cambridge, Massachusetts
17
                      Monday, July 10, 2023
                              Volume I
18
19
2.0
21
      Reported by:
      CATHERINE A. RYAN, RMR, CRR, B.S.
      CSR No. 8239
22
23
      Job No. 5980592
24
25
      PAGES 1 - 314
                                                      Page 1
```

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 486-4 Filed 04/24/25 Page 3 of 4 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY - CONFIDENTIAL

1	that even a Google employee, like, knows what's	17:04:56
2	going on doesn't know what's going on.	
3	Q Do you believe that Google employees know	
4	what's going on about every aspect of every	
5	functionality of every product and service Google	17:05:12
6	has?	
7	MR. CROSBY: Object to the form of the	
8	question.	
9	THE WITNESS: No.	
10	BY MS. AGNOLUCCI:	17:05:19
11	Q Do you believe that a Google employee who	
12	had a misunderstanding about how something worked	
13	can be characterized as knowing what's going on?	
14	MR. CROSBY: Object to the form of the	
15	question.	17:05:38
16	THE WITNESS: A general statement so	
17	it's a Google employee who has a misunderstanding	
18	and also knows what's going on. That seems that	
19	seems plausible, yes.	
20	BY MS. AGNOLUCCI:	17:05:56
21	Q You opine in various instances that Google	
22	intentionally designed its disclosures and interface	
23	to deceive users, correct?	
24	MR. CROSBY: Object to the form of that	
25	question.	17:06:06
		Page 201

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 486-4 Filed 04/24/25 Page 4 of 4 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY - CONFIDENTIAL

1	THE WITNESS: Do I? I'd like to see that.	17:06:08
2	I say that they are designed to to deceive users.	
3	I don't know if I said they were intended to. So	
4	I'd	
5	BY MS. AGNOLUCCI:	17:06:22
6	Q Without looking	
7	A like to see that.	
8	Q Without looking at your report, as you sit	
9	here today, do you have an opinion about whether	
10	Google intended to deceive users?	17:06:32
11	A You know, it's not an opinion that I would	
12	put in a deposition under oath.	
13	Q You testified earlier that the definition	
14	of "dark patterns" is that they are intended to	
15	manipulate users, correct?	17:06:55
16	A I believe that is what I said, yes.	
17	Q What is the basis for strike that.	
18	Can we go off the record for five minutes?	
19	MR. CROSBY: Sure. We're at about an hour	
20	and seven minutes anyway. So let's just take a	17:07:27
21	quick	
22	THE WITNESS: Seems like she breaks a lot.	
23	MS. AGNOLUCCI: Let's take a ten-minute	
24	break.	
25	MR. CROSBY: Okay. We'll back at 17 after	17:07:34
		Page 202